



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/904,975	07/12/2001	Dan W.C. Delmer	DELME-P2739	3783
21259 7590 11/20/2007 J. MARK HOLLAND AND ASSOCIATES 3 SAN JOAQUIN PLAZA SUITE 210 NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660			EXAMINER DUNWOODY, AARON M	
			ART UNIT 3679	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 11/20/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/904,975

Applicant(s)

DELMER, DAN W.C.

Examiner

Aaron M. Dunwoody

Art Unit

3679

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Aaron M. Dunwoody.

(3) Dan Delmer.

(2) J. Mark Holland.

(4) Debbie Wagner.

Date of Interview: 15 November 2007.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☒ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Inventor demonstrated the complete invention of the instant application.

Claim(s) discussed: 2.


Identification of prior art discussed: US patent 4037626.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


AARON DUNWOODY
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative argued that the prior art fail to teach all of the claim limitations such as the female engagement structure. The Examiner directed Interview participants attention where the claim limitations of instant invention were anticipated by the prior art reference. Participants requested consideration of a proposed amended claims filed 11/14/2007. The Examiner informed Participants that the proposed amended claims did not appear to overcome the current art rejection. Applicant's representative informed the Examiner that further amended claims may be submitted. However, if the Participants would the Examiner to consider the proposed amendment filed 11/14/2007, or a future amendment, such amendment(s) should be formally submitted and the Examiner will consider any amendments after final in accordance with after final practice.